

CRITICISMS

Out of Town Firms Favored

Uneven Award Distributions

Poor Communications

Process Arbitrary?

Inflexibility?

LRC Meeting 10/13/05

Membership
Presentation Rep. Presi Ortega
Current Process Reviewed
Survey Texas Cities
Comments A & E Community

Current Process

Ordinance

3 Committees

\$750,000 Budget Option

Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Committee

- 1. Assistant City Engineer
- 2. Construction Division Manager
- 3. Design Section Chief
- 4. User Department Representative
- 5. Assistant Director BP&I
- 6. External Professional
- 7. Agency Representative (20% or more)

Selection Committee

- 1. Chief Engineer
- 2. Chief Architect
- 3. Director BP&I
- 4. User Department Director
- 5. External Professional

Evaluation Criteria (Project Plan)

| 1.Response | to Scope | of Work | |
|------------|----------|---------|--|
|------------|----------|---------|--|

2. Project Team

3. Value Engineering Principles

4. Other Project Issues

25 pts

25 pts

5 pts

Evaluation Criteria (Cost Control)

| | 1.Estimating | Method |
|--|--------------|--------|
|--|--------------|--------|

2.Estimators

3. Quality Assurance

4.Change Orders

5 pts

5 pts

5 pts

Evaluation Criteria (Quality Control)

- 1. Quality Control Procedure
- 2. Peer Review Principles
- 3. Constructability Review
- 4. Code Compliance Review

5 pts

5 pts

5 pts

Texas Survey

Houston, Ft. Worth, Austin, San Antonio, Dallas

No Ordinance

One Committee

Staff Availability & Experience with Issues
Disadvantaged Business Enterprises
Increased Flexibility

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS

Developed and presented to the LRC on November 9, 2005.

A & E Input

American Institute of Architects

Texas Council of Engineering Companies

Texas Society of Professional Engineers

Others

PUBLIC POLICY GOALS

- Public works improvements are essential to the well being and vitality of El Paso.
- Through their development the City's leverages it's largest economic development tool.
- Planning, design and project administration are entrusted to professionals.
- They are entitled to a selection process that is fair and equitable.

Policy Statements

- 1. Administrative Process
- 2. Staff Availability & Experience with issues
- 3. DBE's
- 4. No Undue Advantage
- 5. Rotation
- 6. Community Opinion
- 7. Local Opportunity
- 8. Representatives Fully Informed

Selection Committee

One Committee- 5 + Members

Selected by City Manager

CM Designee Presides

Terms Rotated

Selection Committee Representing:

- 1. City Manager
- 2. A City Engineer or Architect
- 3. User Department
- 4. Development Services
- 5. City's Project Manager
- 6. External Professional

\$1.5 Million Construction Budget Or not to exceed \$5000,000 Professional Services only

Pre-qualified Firms

No RFQs

No Presentations

Greater than \$1.5 Million

RFQ Evaluation and Project Criteria

Select or Short List

Presentation and Selection

Rotation

Generic- e.g. street and Drainage

Group Selected

Distribution of Work

Evaluation Criteria

| 1. Technical Competency | |
|-------------------------|--|
|-------------------------|--|

2. Project Understanding/Approach

3. Similar Experience

4. Availability of Staff

5. Experience with EP Issues

6. DBEs

20 pts

20 pts

20 pts

15 pts

15 pts